

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nsptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/444,819	11/22/1999	SHUICHI KIKUCHI	10417-006001	9133
7.	590 04/30/2002			
JOHN B PEGRAM ESQ FISH & RICHARDSON P C 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			RAO, SHRINIVAS H	
NEW YORK, NY 10111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2814		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. KIKUCHI ET AL. 09/444,819 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examiner 2814 Steven H. Rao -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) Mr The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ___ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ___ 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,8-10,17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants' arguments that Bulucea's element 136 is not a drift region is not persuasive because Drift region is defined as a region wherein the electrons/holes move in random motion due to the applied electric field and applying the broadest reasonable interpretation, element 136 in fig.13 of Belucea which illustrates a FET, is a drift region. Even the applicants' in thier specification page 8, lines 5-7, etc. describe their region 22 as a drift region which is identical to Belucea's region 136. Further Bulucea in fig. 6 describes the drift region extending to and below the drain region and is formed shallowly below the gate electrode and deeply in the neighborhood of the drain region. Since region 136 /64 are drift regions they both are the same conductivity as drain regions 135/63. With respect to claims 3-4,10,17 and 19 it is noted that applicants' are arguing a method step in a device claim and the method step cannot be given paten table weight. Further these claims are rejected over Bulucea and Blanchard and Blanchard in col. 4 lines 61 -66 teaches global implants by arsenic and boron. Bulucea in figs. 10a etc. and col. 6 lines line 50 describes a BICMOS and it is well known that bICMOS have two gate electrodes.

Jerome Jalkson, Jr. Primary Kaminer